arXiv:1607.07067v2 [math.RT] 10 Oct 2016

CLASSIFICATION OF CATEGORY $\mathcal{J}$ MODULES FOR DIVERGENCE ZERO VECTOR FIELDS ON A TORUS

YULY BILLIG AND JOHN TALBOOM

Abstract. We consider a category of modules that admit compatible actions of the commutative algebra of Laurent polynomials and the Lie algebra of divergence zero vector fields on a torus and have a weight decomposition with finite dimensional weight spaces. We classify indecomposable and irreducible modules in this category.

Key Words: Indecomposable representations; Irreducible representations; Lie algebra of vector fields; Weight module

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B10, 17B66

1. Introduction

Consider the algebra $A_N = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_N^{\pm 1}]$ and Lie algebra $\mathrm{Der}(A_N)$ of derivations of A_N. The Lie algebra $\mathrm{Der}(A_N)$ may be identified with the Lie algebra of polynomial vector fields on an N-dimensional torus (see Section 2). In [8] Eswara Rao considered modules that admit compatible actions of both the Lie algebra $\mathrm{Der}(A_N)$ and the commutative algebra A_N. We refer to such modules as $(A_N, \mathrm{Der}(A_N))$-modules. Tensor fields on a torus provide examples of modules in this class. Eswara Rao classified in [8] all irreducible $(A_N, \mathrm{Der}(A_N))$-modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces and proved that all such modules are in fact tensor modules. This result was extended in [2] to a classification of indecomposable modules in this category. To accomplish this it was shown that the action of the Lie algebra is polynomial (see [1] and [3]); a strategy which will be used in the current paper.

In [7] Eswara Rao determines conditions for irreducibility of tensor modules for $\mathrm{Der}(A_N)$. Restrictions of these tensor modules to the subalgebra of divergence zero vector fields, denoted here by $\mathcal{S}_N$, are studied in [9], and it was found that these modules remain irreducible under similar conditions. The goal of this paper is to study the category $\mathcal{J}$ of $(A_N, \mathcal{S}_N)$-modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces and classify irreducible and indecomposable modules in this category.

Let S_N^+ be the Lie algebra of divergence zero elements of $\mathrm{Der}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_N])$ of non-negative degrees, $\mathcal{H}$ the three dimensional Heisenberg algebra and $\mathfrak{a}_N$ an abelian algebra of dimension N. The main result of this paper is Theorem 4.3 which is stated below (the action of $\mathcal{S}_N$ will also be given).

Theorem. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and let $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ be a subcategory of modules in category $\mathcal{J}$ supported on $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}^N$.*

(a) *For $N = 2$ there is an equivalence of categories between the category of finite dimensional modules for $S_2^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$. This equivalence maps U to $A_2 \otimes U$ where U is a finite dimensional module for $S_2^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}$.*

(b) *For $N \geq 3$, there is an equivalence of categories between the category of finite dimensional modules for $S_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{a}_N$ and $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$. This equivalence maps U to $A_N \otimes U$ where U is a finite dimensional module for $S_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{a}_N$.*

Section 2 of the current paper will introduce category $\mathcal{J}$ for the Lie algebra of divergence zero vector fields on an N-dimensional torus. An immediate consequence of this definition is that weights for an indecomposable module J in category $\mathcal{J}$ form a single coset $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}^N$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Furthermore, since J is a free A_N-module of finite rank, all weight spaces have the same dimension and it follows that $J \cong A_N \otimes U$, where U is any weight space of J.

Section 3 contains the bulk of the proof for the classification of category $\mathcal{J}$. It begins by showing that the action of $\mathcal{S}_N$ on J is completely determined by the action of a certain Lie algebra on U. The remainder of the section is to show that $\mathcal{S}_N$ acts on J by certain $\mathrm{End}(U)$-valued polynomials. It is seen however that the case $N = 2$ is exceptional in this regard and it must be considered separately from the cases where $N \geq 3$.

The main results are presented in Sections 4 and 5. Here the so-called polynomial action on U is seen to be a representation of the Lie algebra S_N^+, along with the three dimensional Heisenberg in the case $N = 2$, or an abelian algebra in the case $N \geq 3$. In the case of irreducible modules the action of S_N^+ simplifies to a representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_N$, the Lie algebra of $N \times N$ matrices with trace zero, and the three dimensional Heisenberg converts to an abelian algebra when $N = 2$.

Irreducible representations for the case $N = 2$ are studied in [6] by Jiang and Lin. Lemma 3.1 below makes use of a technique found in this paper in order to obtain a family of eigenvectors. This provides a crucial step in the classification.

2. Preliminaries

Let $A_N = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_N^{\pm 1}]$ be the algebra of Laurent polynomials over $\mathbb{C}$. Elements of A_N are presented with multi-index notation $t^r = t_1^{r_1} \dots t_N^{r_N}$ where $r = (r_1, \dots, r_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ denote the standard basis for $\mathbb{Z}^N$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, $|k| = k_1 + \cdots + k_N$, $k! = k_1! \dots k_N!$ and $\binom{r}{k} = \frac{r!}{k!(r-k)!}$. Denote the set of non-negative integers by $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

For $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let $d_i = t_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}$. The vector space of derivations of A_N, $\mathrm{Der}(A_N) = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ t^r d_i | i \in \{1, \dots, N\}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^N \right\}$, forms a Lie algebra called the Witt algebra denoted here by $\mathcal{W}_N$. The Lie bracket in $\mathcal{W}_N$ is given by $[t^r d_i, t^s d_j] = s_i t^{r+s} d_j - r_j t^{r+s} d_i$.

Geometrically, $\mathcal{W}_N$ may be interpreted as the Lie algebra of (complex-valued) polynomial vector fields on an N dimensional torus via the mapping $t_j = e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_j}$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, where θ_j is the jth angular coordinate. This has an interesting subalgebra, the Lie algebra of divergence-zero vector fields, denoted $\mathcal{S}_N$.

The change of coordinates $t_j = e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_j}$, gives $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} = \frac{\partial t_j}{\partial \theta_j} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} = \sqrt{-1} t_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} = \sqrt{-1} d_j$. Thus an element $X = \sum_{j=1}^N f_j(t) d_j \in \mathcal{W}_N$ can be written in the form $X = -\sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=1}^N f_j(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}$. The divergence of X with respect to the natural volume form in angular coordinates is then $-\sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \theta_j} = \sum_{j=1}^N t_j \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial t_j}$. Letting $d_{ab}(r) = r_b t^r d_a - r_a t^r d_b$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{S}_N = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ d_a, d_{ab}(r) | a, b \in \{1, \dots, N\}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^N \right\}$$

and has commutative Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{d_j | j \in \{1, \dots, N\}\}$. It will be useful to have the Lie bracket of $\mathcal{S}_N$ in terms of the elements $d_{ab}(r)$. For $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ and $a, b, p, q \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, $[d_a, d_{pq}(r)] = r_a d_{pq}(r)$, and

$$[d_{ab}(r), d_{pq}(s)] \\ = r_b s_p d_{aq}(r+s) - r_b s_q d_{ap}(r+s) - r_a s_p d_{bq}(r+s) + r_a s_q d_{bp}(r+s).$$

By definition $d_{ab}(0) = 0, d_{aa}(r) = 0$ and $d_{ba}(r) = -d_{ab}(r)$. When $N \geq 3$, $d_{ab}(r) = 0$ in the case $r_a = r_b = 0$, and in general,

$$r_p d_{ab}(r) + r_a d_{bp}(r) + r_b d_{pa}(r) = 0.$$

A family of modules for $\mathcal{W}_N$ called category $\mathcal{J}$ was defined in [2]. An analogous category of modules for $\mathcal{S}_N$ is defined as follows:

Definition 2.1. *Let $N > 1$. An $\mathcal{S}_N$-module J belongs to category $\mathcal{J}$ if the following properties hold:*

(J1) *The action of d_a on J is diagonalizable for all $a \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.*
(J2) *Module J is a free A_N-module of finite rank.*
(J3) *For any $X \in \mathcal{S}_N, f \in A_N$ and $u \in J$, $X(fu) = (X(f))u + f(Xu)$.*

A submodule of any $J \in \mathcal{J}$ must be invariant under the actions of both A_N and $\mathcal{S}_N$. Classifying the modules of category $\mathcal{J}$ is the goal of this paper. From property (J2) it follows that any module in $\mathcal{J}$ is a finite direct sum of indecomposable modules and hence it suffices to examine indecomposable modules $J \in \mathcal{J}$. Using (J1) we may consider the $\mathfrak{h}$-weight decomposition, $J = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N} J_\lambda$ where $J_\lambda = \{u \in J | d_a(u) = \lambda_a u\}$. For $u \in J_\lambda$,

$$d_a(d_{bc}(r)u) = d_{bc}(r) d_a u + [d_a, d_{bc}(r)]u = (\lambda_a + r_a) d_{bc}(r) u$$

and thus $d_{bc}(r) J_\lambda \subset J_{\lambda + r}$. Similarly by (J3) $t^r J_\lambda \subset J_{\lambda + r}$. These two relations partition the weights of J into $\mathbb{Z}^N$-cosets of $\mathbb{C}^N$, and decompose J into a direct sum of submodules, each corresponding to a distinct coset. Thus if J is indecomposable its set of weights is one such coset $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}^N$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and $J = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^N} J_{\lambda + r}$.

Let $U = J_\lambda$. The invertible map $t^r : U \to J_{\lambda + r}$ identifies all weight spaces with U and since J is a free module for the associative algebra A_N it follows that any basis for U is also basis for J viewed as a free A_N-module. Furthermore the finite rank condition of property (J2) implies that U must be finite dimensional. This yields that $J \cong A_N \otimes U$. Homogeneous elements of J will be denoted $t^s \otimes v$, for $s \in \mathbb{Z}^N, v \in U$.

3. Polynomial Action

The map $d_{ab}(r) : 1 \otimes U \to t^r \otimes U$ induces an endomorphism $D_{ab}(r) : U \to U$ defined by

$$D_{ab}(r)u = (t^{-r} \circ d_{ab}(r))u$$

for $u \in U$. Combining this with (J3) yields

$$d_{ab}(r)(t^s \otimes v) = (r_b s_a - s_b r_a) t^{r+s} \otimes v + t^{r+s} \otimes D_{ab}(r) v, \tag{1}$$

and so the action of $d_{ab}(r)$ on J is determined by that of $D_{ab}(r)$ on U.

The key to proving the main result is to show that $D_{ab}(r)$ acts on U by an $\mathrm{End}(U)$-valued polynomial in r when $N \geq 3$. That is,

$$D_{ab}(r) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P^{(k)}_{ab},$$

where the $P^{(k)}_{ab} \in \mathrm{End}(U)$ do not depend on r, and the sum is finite. The factor of $k!$ is for convenience. In the case $N = 2$ a slight modification needs to be made and the corresponding expansion has the form

$$D_{ab}(r) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P^{(k)}_{ab} - \delta_{r,0} P^{(0)}_{ab},$$

where $\delta_{r,0}$ is the Kronecker delta.

Since $D_{ab}(r) = t^{-r} d_{ab}(r)$, the Lie bracket for $D_{ab}(r)$ follows from that of $d_{ab}(r)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&[D_{ab}(r), D_{cd}(s)] \\
&= [t^{-r} d_{ab}(r), t^{-s} d_{cd}(s)] \\
&= t^{-r}(d_{ab}(r)(t^{-s})) d_{cd}(s) - t^{-s}(d_{cd}(s)(t^{-r})) d_{ab}(r) + t^{-r-s}[d_{ab}(r), d_{cd}(s)] \\
&= t^{-r}(-r_b s_a + r_a s_b) t^{r-s} d_{cd}(s) - t^{-s}(-r_c s_d + r_d s_c) t^{s-r} d_{ab}(r) \\
&\quad + t^{-r-s}\left(r_b s_c d_{ad}(r+s) - r_b s_d d_{ac}(r+s) - r_a s_c d_{bd}(r+s) + r_a s_d d_{bc}(r+s)\right) \\
&= (r_a s_b - r_b s_a) D_{cd}(s) + (r_c s_d - r_d s_c) D_{ab}(r) \\
&\quad + r_b s_c D_{ad}(r+s) - r_b s_d D_{ac}(r+s) - r_a s_c D_{bd}(r+s) + r_a s_d D_{bc}(r+s).
\end{aligned}$$

This has special case

$$[D_{ab}(r), D_{ab}(s)] = (r_a s_b - r_b s_a)(D_{ab}(r) + D_{ab}(s) - D_{ab}(r+s)). \tag{2}$$

Note that for $N \geq 3$

$$r_c D_{ab}(r) + r_a D_{bc}(r) + r_b D_{ca}(r) = 0.$$

For a function f whose domain is $\mathbb{Z}^N$ the *difference derivative* in direction $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, denoted by $\partial_r f$, is defined as

$$\partial_r f(s) = f(s+r) - f(s).$$

Higher order derivatives are obtained by iteration and thus

$$\partial_r^m f(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-i} \binom{m}{i} f(s+ir). \tag{3}$$

To simplify notation let $\partial_a = \partial_{e_a}$. Applying the above twice yields

$$\partial_a^m \partial_b^n f(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^{m+n-i-j} \binom{m}{i} \binom{n}{j} f(s + ie_a + je_b). \tag{4}$$

A technique for finding eigenvectors was found in [6] and provides a key step to proving the result here (cf. Lemma 4 in [6]).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $a, b \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. Then for $m \geq 1$*

$$[D_{ab}(-e_b), [D_{ab}(-e_a), \partial_a^m \partial_b^n D_{ab}(e_a)]] = -n(m+1) \partial_a^m \partial_b^n D_{ab}(e_a).$$

Proof. First apply the formula for the difference derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned}&[D_{ab}(-e_b),[D_{ab}(-e_a),\partial_a^m\partial_b^n D_{ab}(e_a)]\\&=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{m+n-i-j}\binom{m}{i}\binom{n}{j}[D_{ab}(-e_b),[D_{ab}(-e_a),D_{ab}((i+1)e_a+je_b)],\end{aligned}$$

then evaluate the Lie bracket for $D_{ab}(r)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&=-\sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{m+n-i-j}\binom{m}{i}\binom{n}{j}j[D_{ab}(-e_b),D_{ab}(-e_a)]\\&\quad-\sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{m+n-i-j}\binom{m}{i}\binom{n}{j}j[D_{ab}(-e_b),D_{ab}((i+1)e_a+je_b)]\\&\quad+\sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{m+n-i-j}\binom{m}{i}\binom{n}{j}j[D_{ab}(-e_b),D_{ab}(ie_a+je_b))].\end{aligned}$$

Simplifying the binomial coefficients and evaluating the Lie bracket yields,

$$\begin{aligned}&=-n\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-j}\binom{n-1}{j-1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m}(-1)^{m-i}\binom{m}{i}\right)[D_{ab}(-e_b),D_{ab}(-e_a)]\\&\quad-n\sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{m+n-i-j}\binom{m}{i}\binom{n-1}{j-1}\\&\quad\times(i+1)(D_{ab}(-e_b)+D_{ab}((i+1)e_a+je_b)-D_{ab}((i+1)e_a+(j-1)e_b))\\&\quad+n\sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{m+n-i-j}\binom{m}{i}\binom{n-1}{j-1}\\&\quad\times i(D_{ab}(-e_b)+D_{ab}(ie_a+je_b)-D_{ab}(ie_a+(j-1)e_b)).\end{aligned}$$

The first term vanishes because the sum in parentheses is zero for $m\geq 1$. For a similar reason the terms involving $D_{ab}(-e_b)$ will vanish. A change of summation index causes a sign change leaving,

$$\begin{aligned}&n\sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{m+n-i-j}\binom{m}{i}\binom{n-1}{j}\\&\quad\times(D_{ab}((i+1)e_a+(j+1)e_b)-D_{ab}((i+1)e_a+je_b))\\&\quad-mn\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{m+n-i-j}\binom{m-1}{i}\binom{n-1}{j}\\&\quad\times(D_{ab}((i+2)e_a+(j+1)e_b)-D_{ab}((i+2)e_a+je_b))\\&\quad+mn\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{m+n-i-j}\binom{m-1}{i}\binom{n-1}{j}\\&\quad\times(D_{ab}((i+1)e_a+(j+1)e_b)-D_{ab}((i+1)e_a+je_b)).\end{aligned}$$

Applying the definition of the difference derivative combines terms to give

$$\begin{aligned}
&n\sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{m+n-i-j}\binom{m}{i}\binom{n-1}{j}\partial_b D_{ab}((i+1)e_a+je_b)\\
&-mn\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{m+n-i-j}\binom{m-1}{i}\binom{n-1}{j}\\
&\times(\partial_b D_{ab}((i+2)e_a+je_b)-\partial_b D_{ab}((i+1)e_a+je_b))\\
=&-n\partial_a^m\partial_b^{n-1}(\partial_b D_{ab}(e_a))\\
&-mn\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{m+n-i-j}\binom{m-1}{i}\binom{n-1}{j}\partial_a\partial_b D_{ab}((i+1)e_a+je_b)\\
=&-n\partial_a^m\partial_b^n D_{ab}(e_a)-mn\partial_a^{m-1}\partial_b^{n-1}(\partial_a\partial_b D_{ab}(e_a))\\
=&-n(m+1)\partial_a^m\partial_b^n D_{ab}(e_a).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The Lemma above shows that for various m and n, $\partial_a^m\partial_b^n D_{ab}(e_a)$ are eigenvectors for $\mathrm{ad}(D_{ab}(-e_b))\mathrm{ad}(D_{ab}(-e_a))$ and yield infinitely many distinct eigenvalues. This fact will be used to show that $\partial_a^m\partial_b^n D_{ab}(e_a)=0$ for large enough values of m and n.

The following Lemma was proven in [2] and is presented here without proof.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a Lie algebra with nonzero elements $y, y_1, y_2, \ldots$ with the property that*

$$[y, y_i]=\alpha_i y_i$$

for $i=1,2,\ldots,$ and $\alpha_i\in\mathbb{C}$. Then for a finite dimensional representation (U,ρ) of $\mathfrak{L}$, there are at most $(\dim U)^2-\dim U+1$ distinct eigenvalues for which $\rho(y_i)\neq 0$.

Now consider the case $N=2$ where $\mathcal{S}_2=\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\{d_1(r),d_2(r),d_{12}(r)|r\in\mathbb{Z}^2\right\}$. Combining the two Lemmas above shows that there exists $K\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\partial_1^{m+1}\partial_2^n D_{12}(e_1)=0$ and $\partial_1^m\partial_2^{n+1}D_{12}(e_2)=0$ for all $m+n>K$. This fact along with the following Lemmas will show that $D_{12}(r)$ is a polynomial plus a delta function.

Lemma 3.3. *If $\partial_1^m\partial_2^n f(r)=0$ for all $m,n\geq 0$ then $f(r+ie_1+je_2)=0$ for all $i,j\geq 0$.*

Proof. Using (3) it follows by induction that if $\partial_a^m f(r)=0$ for all $m\geq 0$ then $f(r+ie_a)=0$ for all $i\geq 0$.

Suppose $\partial_1^m\partial_2^n f(r)=0$ for all $m,n\geq 0$, and let $g_n(r)=\partial_2^n f(r)$ so that by assumption, for each $n\geq 0$, $\partial_1^m g_n(r)=0$ for all $m\geq 0$. By the first part of the proof this implies that $g_n(r+ie_1)=0$ for all $i\geq 0$. So for any $i\geq 0$, $\partial_2^n f(r+ie_1)=0$ for all $n\geq 0$, which implies that $f(r+ie_1+je_s)=0$ for all $i,j\geq 0$. □

For $K+1$ ordered pairs (x_i,a_i), $i=0,\ldots,K$ with distinct x_i, there exists a unique interpolating polynomial $P(X)$ of degree at most K, such that $P(x_i)=a_i$. This can be extended to functions of two variables in the following way.

Lemma 3.4. *Given $\frac{(K+1)(K+2)}{2}$ triples (x_i,y_j,a_{ij}) for $0\leq i+j\leq K$, with distinct x_i and distinct y_j, there exists a unique polynomial $P(X,Y)$ of degree at most K such that $P(x_i,y_j)=a_{ij}$.*

Proof. For $K = 0$ the constant function $P(X, Y) = a_{00}$ is the unique polynomial of degree 0 through (x_0, y_0, a_{00}). Proceed by induction on K. The univariate case yields a unique polynomial $R(X)$ of degree at most K such that $R(x_i) = a_{i0}$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, K\}$. For $i \geq 0$ and $j \geq 1$ let

$$b_{ij} = \frac{a_{ij} - R(x_i)}{y_j - y_0}.$$

By induction there is a unique interpolating polynomial $Q(X, Y)$ of degree at most $K-1$ such that $Q(x_i, y_j) = b_{ij}$ for the $\frac{K(K+1)}{2}$ triples (x_i, y_j, b_{ij}) where $1 \leq i+j \leq K$, and $j \geq 1$. Polynomial $P(X, Y) = R(X) + (Y - y_0)Q(X, Y)$ is of degree at most K and $P(x_i, y_j) = a_{ij}$ for $0 \leq i + j \leq K$.

Suppose $T(X, Y)$ is a polynomial of degree at most K and $T(x_i, y_i) = a_{ij}$ for $0 \leq i + j \leq K$. Since the decomposition $T(X, Y) = F(X) + (Y - y_0)G(X, Y)$ is unique for polynomials F and G, it must be that $F(X) = R(X)$ and $G(X, Y) = Q(X, Y)$. Hence $P(X, Y)$ is unique. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let $S = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_N \in \mathbb{C}^N$, where each S_i is a set with $K + 1$ elements, and let F and G be polynomials of degree at most K in N variables, $X_1, \dots, X_N$, that agree on S. Then $F = G$.*

Proof. Use induction on N where the case $N = 1$ is well known (the case $N = 2$ follows from Lemma 3.4). Let $a \in S_1$ and divide $F(X)$ and $G(X)$ by $(X_1 - a)$ to get $F(X) = (X_1 - a)P(X) + R(X_2, \dots, X_N)$ and $G(X) = (X_1 - a)Q(X) + T(X_2, \dots, X_N)$. Then R and T are of degree at most K, and agree on $S_2 \times \cdots \times S_N$. By induction $R = T$ and so $P(x) = Q(x)$ for all $x \in S' = (S_1 \setminus \{a\}) \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_N$. Then $P = Q$ also by induction, since P and Q are of degree at most $K - 1$ and S' contains a cube of size K. Therefore $F = G$. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Suppose $\partial_1^m \partial_2^n f(r) = 0$ for all $m + n > K$, for some $K \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $p(t)$ be the bivariate interpolating polynomial of degree at most K such that $p(r + ie_1 + je_2) = f(r + ie_1 + je_2)$ for $0 \leq i + j \leq K$. Then $f(s) = p(s)$ for all $s_1 \geq r_1, s_2 \geq r_2$.*

Proof. Let $h(t) = f(t) - p(t)$. Then (4) implies that $\partial_1^m \partial_2^n h(r) = 0$ for $m + n \leq K$, because $h(r + ie_1 + je_2) = 0$ for $i + j \leq K$. When $m + n > K$, $\partial_1^m \partial_2^n f(r) = 0$ by assumption and $\partial_1^m \partial_2^n p(r) = 0$ since it is a polynomial of degree at most K. Thus $\partial_1^m \partial_2^n h(r) = 0$ for $m+n \geq 0$ and so by Lemma 3.3, $f(r+ie_1+je_2) = p(r+ie_1+je_2)$ for all $i, j \geq 0$. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let $N = 2$ and let $J = A_2 \otimes U$ be a module in category $\mathcal{J}$. Then $D_{12}(r)$ acts on U by*

$$D_{12}(r) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P_{12}^{(k)} - \delta_{r,0} P_{12}^{(0)}$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, where $P_{12}^{(k)} \in \mathrm{End}(U)$ does not depend on r, and the summation is finite.

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 that there exists a $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\partial_1^{m+1} \partial_2^n D_{12}(e_1) = 0$ and $\partial_1^m \partial_2^{n+1} D_{12}(e_2) = 0$ for $n + m > K$. By Lemma 3.6 there exist $\mathrm{End}(U)$-valued polynomials P_1 and P_2 such that

$$P_1(r) = \partial_1 D_{12}(r) \text{ and } P_2(s) = \partial_2 D_{12}(s),$$

for all $r = r_1e_1 + r_2e_2$ and $s = s_1e_1 + s_2e_2$ with $r_1, s_2 \geq 1$ and $r_2, s_1 \geq 0$. Taking polynomial difference antiderivatives $\bar{P}_1(r)$ and $\bar{P}_2(s)$ respectively, we get

$$D_{12}(r) = \bar{P}_1(r) + g_1(r_2) \text{ and } D_{12}(s) = \bar{P}_2(s) + g_2(s_1),$$

where $\bar{P}_1$ and $\bar{P}_2$ are polynomials, and g_1 and g_2 are functions of r_2 and s_1 respectively. Then $\bar{P}_1(r) + g_1(r_2) = \bar{P}_2(r) + g_2(r_1)$ for $r_1, r_2 \geq 1$, so

$$g_2(r_1) - g_1(r_2) = \bar{P}_1(r) - \bar{P}_2(r).$$

Taking the mth difference derivative in e_1 where $m > K$ gives

$$\partial_1^m g_2(r_1) = \partial_1^m(\bar{P}_1(r) - \bar{P}_2(r)) = 0$$

which implies that g_2 is a polynomial in r_1. Similarly g_1 is a polynomial in r_2. Thus $D_{12}(r) = \bar{P}_1(r) + g_1(r_2)$ and $D_{12}(r) = \bar{P}_2(r) + g_2(r_1)$, are polynomials that agree on $\mathcal{R}_1 = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | i,j \geq 1\}$, and hence must be equal by Lemma 3.5. Therefore $D_{12}(r)$ is an $\mathrm{End}(U)$-valued polynomial $Q_1(r)$ on $\mathcal{R}_1$. It remains to show that $D_{12}(r)$ acts by a polynomial $P(r)$ on all of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ except at the origin.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the Lie algebra with basis elements $D_{12}(r)$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and Lie bracket given by 2. Consider the automorphisms φ_1 and φ_2 of $\mathcal{L}$, where $\varphi_1(D_{12}(r_1, r_2)) = -D_{12}(-r_1, r_2)$, $\varphi_2(D_{12}(r_1, r_2)) = -D_{12}(r_1, -r_2)$, and their composition $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1$ where $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1(D_{12}(r_1, r_2)) = D_{12}(-r_1, -r_2)$. What was proven for $\mathcal{L}$ is also true for its image under these automorphisms. Thus there are $\mathrm{End}(U)$-valued polynomials Q_2, Q_3, and Q_4 such that $D_{12}(r) = Q_2(r)$ for $r \in \mathcal{R}_2 = \{(-i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | i,j \geq 1\}$, $D_{12}(r) = Q_3(r)$ for $r \in \mathcal{R}_3 = \{(-i,-j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | i,j \geq 1\}$, and $D_{12}(r) = Q_4(r)$ for $r \in \mathcal{R}_4 = \{(i,-j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | i,j \geq 1\}$.

Let $\sigma : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$ be the automorphism defined by $\sigma(D_{12}(r_1, r_2)) = -D_{12}(-r_1 + r_2, r_2)$. When applied to $\mathcal{R}_1$, this implies the existence of an $\mathrm{End}(U)$-valued polynomial P such that $D_{12}(r) = P(r)$ on the region $\mathcal{R}_5 = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | j \geq 1, i \leq j\}$. Lemma 3.5 may be applied to the intersection of $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_5$ which says that $Q_1 = P$. Applied again to the intersection of $\mathcal{R}_2$ and $\mathcal{R}_5$ yields that $Q_2 = P$. Thus $D_{12}(r)$ acts by $\mathrm{End}(U)$-valued polynomial $P(r)$ for $r \in \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | j \geq 1\}$.

Similar techniques may be applied to connect this region with $\mathcal{R}_3$ and $\mathcal{R}_4$. The result that follows is that $D_{12}(r)$ acts by $\mathrm{End}(U)$-valued polynomial $P(r)$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$.

To indicate that the polynomial obtained above is specific to the operator $D_{12}(r)$, write P_{12} instead of P. Decompose $P_{12}(r)$ into powers of r as

$$P_{12}(r) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P_{12}^{(k)},$$

where the sum is finite, the $P_{12}^{(k)} \in \mathrm{End}(U)$ do not depend on r, and the factor of $k!$ is for convenience. Note that $P_{12}(0,0) = P_{12}^{(0,0)}$, however $D_{12}(0,0) = 0$ by definition and so it must act by zero. To avoid a contradiction at the origin a delta function is added so that

$$D_{12}(r) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P_{12}^{(k)} - \delta_{r,0} P_{12}^{(0)},$$

which is now valid for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let $N \geq 2$ and $J = A_N \otimes U$ be a module in category $\mathcal{J}$. Then for $a, b \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, $a \neq b$, $D_{ab}(r)$ acts on U by*

$$D_{ab}(r) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P^{(k)}_{ab}$$

for $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ with $(r_a, r_b) \neq (0, 0)$, where the $P^{(k)}_{ab} \in \mathrm{End}(U)$ do not depend on r, and the summation is finite.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.7 that for any $a, b \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, $a \neq b$, the operators $D_{ab}(r_a e_a + r_b e_b)$ act by polynomial in r_a, r_b, when $(r_a, r_b) \neq (0, 0)$, since for these values the delta function vanishes.

The result will be proven by induction on N, with induction hypothesis that $D_{12}\left(r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_{N-1} e_{N-1}\right)$ acts by polynomial for $(r_1, r_2) \neq (0, 0)$. For convenience this is stated for $D_{12}(r)$, though it holds for any $D_{ab}(r)$ by a change of indices. The basis of induction $N = 2$ follows from Proposition 3.7.

Assume until otherwise stated that $r_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}&[D_{1N}(r_N e_N), D_{12}(r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_{N-1} e_{N-1})] \\ &\qquad = -r_1 r_N D_{12}(r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_{N-1} e_{N-1}) + r_1 r_N D_{12}(r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_N e_N).\end{aligned}$$

Both $D_{1N}(r_N e_N)$, and $D_{12}(r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_{N-1} e_{N-1})$ act by polynomial by the induction hypothesis. Rearrange to get

$$\begin{aligned}&r_1 r_N D_{12}(r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_N e_N) \\ &= [D_{1N}(r_N e_N), D_{12}(r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_{N-1} e_{N-1})] + r_1 r_N D_{12}(r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_{N-1} e_{N-1}).\end{aligned}$$

The right hand side is a polynomial in $r_1, \ldots, r_N$ and thus $r_1 r_N D_{12}(r) = P(r)$, for some $\mathrm{End}(U)$-valued polynomial P, and $r = r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_N e_N$. Symmetry in indices 1 and 2 yields that $r_2 r_N D_{12}(r) = Q(r)$, for some $\mathrm{End}(U)$-valued polynomial Q. Thus,

$$r_2 P(r) = r_1 Q(r).$$

Unique factorization of a polynomial into irreducible factors implies that P factors as $P(r) = r_1 \bar{P}(r)$ and so $r_1 r_N D_{12}(r) = r_1 \bar{P}(r)$. Since $r_1 \neq 0$, division of polynomials gives that $r_N D_{12}(r) = \bar{P}(r)$. Thus, $r_N D_{12}(r)$ acts by polynomial, or more generally, $r_a D_{bc}(r)$ acts by polynomial for $a \neq b, c$.

Fix $s_N \neq 0$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned}&[D_{1N}(r_1 e_1 + s_N e_N), D_{2N}(r_2 e_2 + r_3 e_3 + \cdots + (r_N - s_N) e_N)] \\ &= r_1 (r_N - s_N) D_{2N}(r_2 e_2 + r_3 e_3 + \cdots + (r_N - s_N) e_N) \\ &\quad - s_N r_2 D_{1N}(r_1 e_1 + s_N e_N) - r_1 (r_N - s_N) D_{2N}(r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_N e_N) \\ &\quad - s_N (r_N - s_N) D_{12}(r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_N e_N) + s_N r_2 D_{1N}(r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_N e_N).\end{aligned}$$

Let $r = r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_N e_N$, and isolate the D_{12} term to get

$$\begin{aligned}&s_N (r_N - s_N) D_{12}(r) = r_1 (r_N - s_N) D_{2N}(r_2 e_2 + r_3 e_3 + \cdots + (r_N - s_N) e_N) \\ &- [D_{1N}(r_1 e_1 + s_N e_N), D_{2N}(r_2 e_2 + r_3 e_3 + \cdots + (r_N - s_N) e_N)] \\ &- s_N r_2 D_{1N}(r_1 e_1 + s_N e_N) - r_1 (r_N - s_N) D_{2N}(r) + s_N r_2 D_{1N}(r).\end{aligned}$$

The first three terms on the right hand side act by polynomial by induction. The last two terms are of the form $r_a D_{bc}(r)$, as is $r_N D_{12}(r)$ on the left hand side, and hence these act as polynomials by the previous step. Since both left hand side

$s_N(r_N - s_N)D_{12}(r)$, and $s_N r_N D_{12}(r)$ act by polynomial, so does their difference $-s_N^2 D_{12}(r)$. Because s_N is a nonzero constant this implies that $D_{12}(r)$ acts by polynomial. Again by considering a change of indices, this proves that $D_{ab}(r)$ acts by polynomial on the region $\mathcal{R}_0 = \bigcap_i \{r_i \neq 0\}$. It remains to show that $D_{ab}(r)$ acts by polynomial for $(r_a, r_b) \neq (0,0)$.

Let $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ where s is constant. Rearranging the bracket formula gives

$$(s_a r_b - r_a s_b)D_{ab}(r) = (s_a r_b - r_a s_b)(D_{ab}(s) + D_{ab}(r-s)) - [D_{ab}(s), D_{ab}(r-s)].$$

On the right hand side $D_{ab}(s)$ is constant in r and, by what was just shown, $D_{ab}(r-s)$ acts by polynomial in the region $\mathcal{R}_s = \bigcap_i \{r_i \neq s_i\}$. Thus there is an $\mathrm{End}(U)$-valued polynomial T such that $(s_a r_b - r_a s_b)D_{ab}(r) = T(r)$ for $r \in \mathcal{R}_s$. Similarly for $s' \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, there is a polynomial T' such that $(s'_a r_b - r_a s'_b)D_{ab}(r) = T'(r)$ for $r \in \mathcal{R}_{s'}$. Then

$$(s'_a r_b - r_a s'_b)T(r) = (s_a r_b - r_a s_b)T'(r)$$

for $r \in \mathcal{R}_s \cap \mathcal{R}_{s'}$, which implies that $(s_a r_b - r_a s_b)$ is an irreducible factor of $T(r)$. So $(s_a r_b - r_a s_b)D_{ab}(r) = (s_a r_b - r_a s_b)\bar{T}(r)$, for polynomial $\bar{T}$, and when $s_a r_b - r_a s_b \neq 0$, $D_{ab}(r) = \bar{T}(r)$. Thus $D_{ab}(r)$ acts by polynomial on the region $\mathcal{R}_s \cap \{s_a r_b \neq s_b r_a\}$. The union of these regions is $\bigcup_s (\mathcal{R}_s \cap \{s_a r_b \neq s_b r_a\}) = \{(r_a, r_b) \neq (0,0)\}$. Since these regions are defined by deleting a finite number of hyperplanes from $\mathbb{Z}^N$, the intersection of any two contains a cube of arbitrary size. So any two polynomials that agree on the intersection must be equal. Therefore $D_{ab}(r)$ acts by an $\mathrm{End}(U)$-valued polynomial P_{ab} on the region $\{(r_a, r_b) \neq (0,0)\}$. The polynomial P_{ab} can be decomposed into a finite sum in powers of r so that

$$D_{ab}(r) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P_{ab}^{(k)}.$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ with $\{(r_a, r_b) \neq (0,0)\}$. □

Proposition 3.9. *Let $N \geq 3$ and $J = A_N \otimes U$ a module in category $\mathcal{J}$. Then for $a, b \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, $a \neq b$, $D_{ab}(r)$ acts on U by*

$$D_{ab}(r) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P_{ab}^{(k)}$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, where the $P_{ab}^{(k)} \in \mathrm{End}(U)$ do not depend on r, and the summation is finite. In addition $P_{ab}^{(k)} = 0$ when $k_a = k_b = 0$.

Proof. Since $D_{ab}(r) = 0$ when $r_a = r_b = 0$ by definition, it follows from Lemma 3.8 that $D_{ab}(r)$ may be expressed as

$$D_{ab}(r) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P_{ab}^{(k)} - \delta_{r_a,0}\delta_{r_b,0} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0} \\ k_a = k_b = 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P_{ab}^{(k)}$$

which holds for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. Now substitute the expression above into the equation $r_c D_{ab}(r) + r_a D_{bc}(r) + r_b D_{ca}(r) = 0$ on the region $r_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. Terms with delta functions vanish leaving

$$r_c \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P_{ab}^{(k)} + r_a \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P_{bc}^{(k)} + r_b \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P_{ca}^{(k)} = 0. \tag{5}$$

Extracting the coefficient of $r_c r^k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}$ with $k_a = k_b = 0$ yields that

$$\frac{1}{k!} P^{(k)}_{ab} = 0.$$

This shows that the terms with delta functions are not necessary, and therefore

$$D_{ab}(r) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P^{(k)}_{ab}$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. □

4. Classification

Consider the Lie algebra of derivations of polynomials in N variables,

$$\mathrm{Der}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_N]) = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ x^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a} \middle| \, a \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0} \right\},$$

and its subalgebra consisting of divergence zero elements,

$$S_N = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ S_{ab}(k) | \, a, b \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0} \right\},$$

where $S_{ab}(k) = k_b x^{k - e_b} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a} - k_a x^{k - e_a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_b}$. Its Lie bracket is given by

$$\begin{aligned}[S_{ab}(q), S_{cd}(k)] = {} & q_b k_c S_{ad}(q + k - e_b - e_c) - q_b k_d S_{ac}(q + k - e_b - e_d) \\ & - q_a k_c S_{bd}(q + k - e_a - e_c) + q_a k_d S_{bc}(q + k - e_a - e_d).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $S_{ab}(e_a) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_b}$ and $S_{ab}(e_b) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathfrak{L}_n = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ S_{ab}(k) | \, a, b \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, |k| = n + 2 \}$ so that $S_N = \bigoplus_{i=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{L}_i$. The bracket above shows that $[\mathfrak{L}_i, \mathfrak{L}_j] \subset \mathfrak{L}_{i+j}$.

Lemma 4.1. *In the grading $S_N = \bigoplus_{i=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{L}_i$, component $\mathfrak{L}_0$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ and each $\mathfrak{L}_i$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_N$-module.*

Proof. To see that $\mathfrak{L}_0$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_N$, identify $x_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_b}$ with E_{ab} and $x_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a} - x_b \frac{\partial}{\partial x_b}$ with elements $E_{aa} - E_{bb}$ of the Cartan subalgebra. Each $\mathfrak{L}_i$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_N$-module via the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{L}_0$.

By Weyl's Theorem on complete reducibilty and the fact that every finite dimensional simple $\mathfrak{sl}_N$-module is a highest weight module, it suffices to show that each $\mathfrak{L}_i$ has a unique highest weight vector. In other words the goal is to show that for each i there exists a unique (up to scalar) $v \in \mathfrak{L}_i$ such that $\left[x_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_b}, v \right] = 0$ for all a, b with $a < b$.

An arbitrary member of $\mathfrak{L}_n$ can be expressed as $\sum_{|m|=n} u_m$ with $u_m = \sum_{j=1}^N C_j x^{m+e_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ where $\sum_{j=1}^N C_j (m_j + 1) = 0$, since it has divergence zero. Since $\left[x_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a} - x_b \frac{\partial}{\partial x_b}, u_m \right] = (m_a - m_b) u_m$ for all $a, b \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, weight vectors of $\mathfrak{L}_n$ must have the form u_m for some fixed m.

Let u_m be a highest weight vector for $\mathfrak{L}_n$. Since x may only have nonnegative exponents, two cases arise; either $m_j = -1$ for a single index j and $m_i \geq 0$ otherwise, or else all entries of m are nonnegative. The former forces all coefficients C_i to be zero except for C_j, and hence $u_m = C x^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ with $k_j = 0$. In the latter $u_m = \sum_{j=1}^N C_j x^{m+e_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ with $m_j + 1 > 0$ for each j.

Suppose $u_m = Cx^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ with $k_j = 0$. Then $\left[x_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_b}, u_m\right] = Ck_b x^{k+e_a-e_b} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \delta_{aj} Cx^{k+e_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_b}$. Since $1 \leq a < b \leq N$ it follows that the only u_m of this form annihilated by all raising operators $x_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_b}$ is $u_m = Cx_1^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_N}$.

It remains to show that no vectors of the form $u_m = \sum_{j=1}^N C_j x^{m+e_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ with $m_j + 1 > 0$ for each j, are highest weight vectors. Suppose for $a < b$ that $\left[x_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_b}, \sum_{j=1}^N C_j x^{m+e_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right] = 0$. The coefficient of $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_b}$ on the right hand side is $(C_b(m_b + 1) - C_a)x^{m+e_a} = 0$. Letting $b = N$ and varying a shows that $C_a = C_N(m_N + 1)$ for $a = 1, \ldots, N-1$. Plugging this into the expression for the divergence of u gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} C_N(m_N+1)(m_j+1) + C_N(m_N+1) = C_N(m_N+1)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N-1}(m_j+1)+1\right),$$

which is zero only when $C_N = 0$ since each $(m_j + 1)$ was assumed to be positive. Then $C_N = 0$ implies $C_a = 0$ for $a = 1, \ldots, N-1$, and thus $u_m = 0$. □

The action of the $P_{ab}^{(k)}$ with $|k| > 1$ will be shown to be a representation of the subalgebra

$$S_N^+ = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ S_{ab}(k) \middle| \, a, b \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N, |k| > 1 \right\}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *The map $\rho(S_{ab}(k)) = P_{ab}^{(k)} \in \mathrm{End}(U)$ for $|k| > 1$ is a finite dimensional representation of S_N^+ on U for $N \geq 2$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 3.8 the Lie bracket of $D_{ab}(r)$ with $D_{cd}(s)$ with $r, s \neq 0$ may be expressed

$$[D_{ab}(r), D_{cd}(s)] = \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N} \frac{r^j s^k}{j!k!} [P_{ab}^{(j)}, P_{cd}^{(k)}],$$

where the left hand side may be computed

$$\begin{aligned}
(6)\quad & (r_a s_b - r_b s_a) D_{cd}(s) + (r_c s_d - r_d s_c) D_{ab}(r) + r_b s_c D_{ad}(r+s) \\
& \quad - r_b s_d D_{ac}(r+s) - r_a s_c D_{bd}(r+s) + r_a s_d D_{bc}(r+s) \\
= {} & (r_a s_b - r_b s_a) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N} \frac{s^k}{k!} P_{cd}^{(k)} + (r_c s_d - r_d s_c) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N} \frac{r^k}{k!} P_{ab}^{(k)} + r_b s_c \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N} \frac{(r+s)^k}{k!} P_{ad}^{(k)} \\
& - r_b s_d \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N} \frac{(r+s)^k}{k!} P_{ac}^{(k)} - r_a s_c \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N} \frac{(r+s)^k}{k!} P_{bd}^{(k)} + r_a s_d \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N} \frac{(r+s)^k}{k!} P_{bc}^{(k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $[P_{ab}^{(j)}, P_{cd}^{(k)}]$ is obtained by extracting the coefficient of $\frac{r^j s^k}{j!k!}$ in the expression above. Then for any $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$ with $|j|, |k| > 1$, the bracket is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(7)\quad & [P_{ab}^{(j)}, P_{cd}^{(k)}] = \\
& j_b k_c P_{ad}^{(j+k-e_b-e_c)} - j_b k_d P_{ac}^{(j+k-e_b-e_d)} - j_a k_c P_{bd}^{(j+k-e_a-e_c)} + j_a k_d P_{bc}^{(j+k-e_a-e_d)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that this expression differs when either $|j| \leq 1$ or $|k| \leq 1$. The equation above shows that $\rho(S_{ab}(k)) = P_{ab}^{(k)}$ preserves the Lie bracket of S_N^+ and is therefore a finite dimensional representation on U. □

Since $D_{ab}(r) = -D_{ba}(r)$ it follows that $P_{ab}^{(k)} = -P_{ba}^{(k)}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$. A linear relationship for the $P_{ab}^{(k)}$ is seen in (5), and extracting the coefficient on r^k with $k = e_b + e_c$ gives that $P_{ab}^{(e_b)} = P_{ac}^{(e_c)}$. For $N \geq 3$, $P_{ab}^{(0)} = 0$ and $P_{ab}^{(e_i)} = 0$ for $i \neq a, b$.

Consider the Lie algebra spanned by $\left\{ P_{ab}^{(k)} \middle| a, b \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N \right\}$. As was noted above, the expression in (7) is valid only when $|j|, |k| > 1$. The remaining brackets are obtained by extracting the coefficient of $\frac{r^j s^k}{j!k!}$ in (6) for appropriate values of j and k. Doing so yields that $[P_{ab}^{(j)}, P_{cd}^{(k)}] = 0$ when either j or k is zero. If $|j| > 1, |k| = 1$ or $|j| = 1, k > 1$ the terms on the right hand side of (6) vanish using the relationship in (5) when $N \geq 3$ or cancel directly when $N = 2$. When both $|j| = 1$ and $|k| = 1$ the right hand side of (6) has only terms $P_{ab}^{(0)}$ (for some a and b). In the case $N = 2$, $[P_{ab}^{(e_a)}, P_{ab}^{(e_b)}] = P_{ab}^{(0)}$, and $[P_{ab}^{(e_a)}, P_{ab}^{(e_a)}] = 0$, however when $N \geq 3$, $P_{ab}^{(0)} = 0$, and so $[P_{ab}^{(j)}, P_{cd}^{(k)}] = 0$ when $|j| = 1$ and $|k| = 1$. Thus for $N \geq 3$ the subset of elements $P_{ab}^{(e_i)}$ spans an abelian algebra with generators $\left\{ P_{12}^{(e_2)}, P_{i1}^{(e_1)} \middle| i = 2, \ldots, N \right\}$, and in the case $N = 2$ there is a Heisenberg algebra spanned by $\left\{ P_{12}^{(e_1)}, P_{12}^{(e_2)}, P_{12}^{(0)} \right\}$.

Let $\mathfrak{a}_N = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{C_i | i = 1, \ldots, N\}$ be an N dimensional abelian Lie algebra, and $\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{X, Y, Z\}$ a three dimensional Heisenberg algebra with central element $Z = [X, Y]$. For $N \geq 3$ the map $\rho(C_a) = P_{ab}^{(e_b)}$ is a finite dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{a}_N$ on U. When $N = 2$ the map $\rho(X) = P_{12}^{(e_2)}, \rho(Y) = P_{21}^{(e_1)}$, and $\rho(Z) = P_{12}^{(0)}$ is a finite dimensional representation of $\mathcal{H}$ on U. The following theorem considers Lie algebras $S_2^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}$ and $S_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{a}_N$. In either case the bracket of $\mathcal{H}$ or $\mathfrak{a}_N$ with S_N^+ is zero.

Since $[\rho(S_{ab}(e_a + e_b)), \rho(S_{ab}(ne_a))] = n\rho(S_{ab}(ne_a))$ for $n \geq 0$, Lemma 3.2 implies that for some $k_0 \geq 0$, $\rho(S_{ab}(ke_a))$ acts as zero on U for all $k \geq k_0$. The irreducibility in Lemma 4.1 ensures that all of $\mathfrak{L}_k$ acts as zero. So for some $k_0 \geq 0$, $\mathfrak{L}_k$ acts trivially on U for all $k \geq k_0$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and let $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ be a subcategory of modules in $\mathcal{J}$ supported on $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}^N$.*

(a) *For $N = 2$ there is an equivalence of categories between the category of finite dimensional modules for $S_2^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$. This equivalence maps U to $A_2 \otimes U$ where U is a finite dimensional module for $S_2^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}$. The action of $\mathcal{S}_2$ on $A_2 \otimes U$ is given by $d_a(t^s \otimes u) = (s_a + \lambda_a)t^s \otimes u$ and for $r \neq 0$,*

$$d_{12}(r)(t^s \otimes u) = (r_2 s_1 - r_1 s_2)\, t^{r+s} \otimes u + t^{r+s} \otimes \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \\ |k| > 1}} \frac{r^k}{k!} \rho(S_{12}(k))u + t^{r+s} \otimes (r_1 \rho(X) - r_2 \rho(Y) + \rho(Z))\, u. \tag{8}$$

(b) *For $N \geq 3$, there is an equivalence of categories between the category of finite dimensional modules for $S_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{a}_N$ and $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$. This equivalence maps U to $A_N \otimes U$ where U is a finite dimensional module for $S_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{a}_N$. The action*

of $\mathcal{S}_N$ on $A_N \otimes U$ is given by $d_a(t^s \otimes u) = (s_a + \lambda_a)t^s \otimes u$ and

$$d_{ab}(r)(t^s \otimes u) = (r_b s_a - r_a s_b)\, t^{r+s} \otimes u + t^{r+s} \otimes \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0} \\ |k|>1}} \frac{r^k}{k!} \rho(S_{ab}(k))u + t^{r+s} \otimes (r_b \rho(C_a) - r_a \rho(C_b))\, u. \tag{9}$$

Proof. Let J be a module in $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$. As was noted at the end of Section 2, the module J may be identified with $A_N \otimes U$ where U is the weight space J_λ. Then (J1) with (J3) yields $d_a(t^s \otimes u) = (s_a + \lambda_a)t^s \otimes u$ for $u \in U$.

Section 3 showed that the action of $d_{ab}(r) \in \mathcal{S}_N$ on J is determined by its restriction to U and is given by an $\mathrm{End}(U)$-valued polynomial in r. When $r \neq 0$,

$$d_{ab}(r)(t^s \otimes u) = (r_b s_a - s_b r_a)t^{r+s} \otimes u + t^{r+s} \otimes \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P^{(k)}_{ab} u,$$

and for $r = 0$, $d_{ab}(r) = 0$ and thus acts trivially. Proposition 4.2 and the remarks that follow show that U is a finite dimensional $S_2^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}$-module when $N = 2$ and U is a finite dimensional $S_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{a}_N$-module when $N \geq 3$. The actions in (8) and (9) follow.

Conversely let U be a finite dimensional module for $S_2^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}$. Identify the elements of $S_2^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}$ with the $P^{(k)}_{12}$ as above and let

$$D_{12}(r) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}} \frac{r^k}{k!} P^{(k)}_{12}.$$

This sum is finite due to the discussion just before the theorem. The Lie bracket of $S_2^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}$ yields the commutator relations for the $D_{12}(r)$ operators via equation (6). The Lie bracket of the $D_{12}(r)$ along with the action of $d_{12}(r)$ given in (1) recovers the commutator relations in $\mathcal{S}_2$. Thus $A_2 \otimes U$ is an $\mathcal{S}_2$-module.

The fact that a finite dimensional $S_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{a}_N$-module U yields a finite dimensional module $A_N \otimes U$ for $\mathcal{S}_N$ follows in a similar fashion for the $N \geq 3$ case. □

5. Irreducible Tensor Modules

This section considers simple modules from category $\mathcal{J}$. Note that in a finite dimensional irreducible representation of the Heisenberg algebra, the central element must act by zero. Hence $P^{(0)}_{12} = 0$ in the case $N = 2$ and so the Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}$ used above gets replaced with the two dimensional abelian algebra $\mathfrak{a}_2$. A further simplification found in irreducible modules is that the action of S_N^+ becomes the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_N$, its degree zero component from the grading in Lemma 4.1. The following will be used to show this (cf. [4] Lemma 2.4 and [5] Lemma 9.13).

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with solvable radical* $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{g})$. *Then $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{g})]$ acts trivially on any finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module.*

As noted above, there exists k_0 such that $\mathfrak{L}_k$ acts as zero for all $k \geq k_0$, and so the ideal $I = \bigoplus_{k \geq k_0} \mathfrak{L}_k$ must also act trivially. To apply Lemma 5.1 consider the finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = S_N^+/I \oplus \mathfrak{a}_N$ and its action on U. Since $[\mathfrak{L}_n, \mathfrak{L}_m] \subset \mathfrak{L}_{n+m}$ it follows that $\mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{g}) = \left(\bigoplus_{n>0} \mathfrak{L}_n\right)/I \oplus \mathfrak{a}_N$ and hence $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{g})] = \left(\bigoplus_{n>0} \mathfrak{L}_n\right)/I$

acts trivially. Therefore the ideal $\bigoplus_{n>0} \mathfrak{L}_n$ of $S_N^+ \oplus \mathfrak{a}_N$ acts trivially on a simple module from category $\mathcal{J}$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and let $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ be a subcategory of modules in $\mathcal{J}$ supported on $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}^N$. For $N \geq 2$ there is a one-to-one correspondence between the finite dimensional irreducible modules for $\mathfrak{sl}_N(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}_N$ and the irreducible modules in $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$. This correspondence maps a finite dimensional irreducible module V for $\mathfrak{sl}_N(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}_N$ to $A_N \otimes V$. The action of $\mathcal{S}_N$ on $A_N \otimes V$ is given by $d_a(t^s \otimes u) = (s_a + \lambda_a)t^s \otimes u$ and*

$$d_{ab}(r)(t^s \otimes u) = (r_b(s_a + \mu_a) - r_a(s_b + \mu_b))\, t^{r+s} \otimes u + t^{r+s} \otimes \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq a}}^{N} r_i r_a \varphi(E_{ia})u - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq b}}^{N} r_i r_b \varphi(E_{ib})u + r_a r_b \varphi(E_{aa} - E_{bb})u,$$

where $\mu_a, \mu_b \in \mathbb{C}$ are the action of $C_a, C_b \in \mathfrak{a}_N$, and φ is a representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_N(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. The correspondence is given in Theorem 4.3. By Lemma 5.1 and the discussion above, the ideal $I = \mathrm{Span}\{ S_{ab}(j) | \, a, b \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, |j| > 2\}$ acts trivially on V. Then $S_N^+ / I \cong \mathfrak{sl}_N$ and so the action of $\mathcal{L}_0$ in (9) is represented by elements of $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ as seen in Lemma 4.1. By Schur's Lemma, elements of $\mathfrak{a}_N$ act by scalars and so $\rho(C_a)$ and $\rho(C_b)$ become μ_a and μ_b respectively in (9). □

6. Acknowledgements

The first author gratefully acknowledges funding from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References

[1] S. Berman, Y.Billig (1999), Irreducible representations for toroidal Lie algebras, Journal of Algebra 221(1), 188-231. doi:10.1006/jabr.1999.7961.

[2] Y. Billig (2007), Jet Modules, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 59(4), 712-729. doi: 10.4153/CJM-2007-031-2.

[3] Y. Billig, K. Zhao (2004), Weight modules over exp-polynomial Lie algebras, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 191(1), 23-42. doi: 10.1016/j.jpaa.2003.12.004.

[4] L. Cagliero, F. Szechtman (2011), Jordan-Chevalley Decomposition in Finite Dimensional Lie Algebras, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 139(11), 309-3913. doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-2011-10827-X.

[5] W. Fulton, J. Harris (1991), Representation Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 129. Readings in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4612-0979-9.

[6] J.-J. Jiang, W.-Q. Lin (2015), Partial classification of cuspidal simple modules for Virasoro-like algebra, arXiv:1508.05743.

[7] S. Eswara Rao (1996), Irreducible Representations of the Lie-Algebra of the Diffeomorphisms of a d-Dimensional Torus, Journal of Algebra, 182(2), 401-421. doi:10.1006/jabr.1996.0177.

[8] S. Eswara Rao (2004), Partial classification of modules for Lie algebra of diffeomorphisms of d-dimensional torus, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 45 , 3322-3333. doi: 10.1063/1.1769104.

[9] J. Talboom (2016) Irreducible Modules for the Lie Algebra of Divergence Zero Vector Fields on a Torus, Communications in Algebra, 44(4), 1795-1808. doi: 10.1080/00927872.2015.1027396.